



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/761,636	01/18/2001	Marc G. Achen	1064/48505	6112

7590

03/26/2002

CROWELL & MORING LLP
Intellectual Property Group
P.O. Box 14300
Washington, DC 20044-4300

EXAMINER

HUYNH, PHUONG N

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1644

DATE MAILED: 03/26/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/761,636

Applicant(s)

ACHEN ET AL.

Examiner

"Neon" Phuong Huynh

Art Unit

1644

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE One MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on ____.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-71 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) ____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☒ Claim(s) 1-71 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on ____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on ____ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.
- If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. ____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
- a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) ____.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). ____.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☒ Other: *Fax cover sheet*.

Art Unit: 1644

DETAILED ACTION

1. The location of your application in the PTO has changed. To aid in correlating any papers for this application, all further correspondence regarding this application should be directed to Art Unit 1644, Group 1640, Technology Center 1600.
2. Please Note: In an effort to enhance communication with our customers and reduce processing time, Group 1640 is running a Fax Response Pilot for Written Restriction Requirements. A dedicated Fax machine is in place to receive your responses. The Fax number is 703-308-4315. A Fax cover sheet is attached to this Office Action for your convenience. We encourage your participation in this Pilot program. If you have any questions or suggestions please contact Paula Hutzell, Ph.D., Supervisory Patent Examiner at Paula.Hutzell@uspto.gov or 703-308-4310. Thank you in advance for allowing us to enhance our customer service. Please limit the use of this dedicated Fax number to responses to Written Restrictions.
3. Claims 1-71 are pending.

Election/Restrictions

4. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-3, 12-13, 18, 23-24, 26, 49-55 and 63, drawn to a monomeric monocyclic peptide and composition comprising said monomeric monocyclic, classified in Class 514, subclass 11; Class 424, subclass 185.1
 - II. Claims 4, 14-17, 23, 25 and 56-62, drawn to dimeric bicyclic peptide and composition comprising said dimeric bicyclic peptide, classified in Class 514, subclass 10; Class 424, subclass 185.1
 - III. Claims 5-11, drawn to a method of making a monomeric monocyclic peptide, classified in Class 435, subclass 69.1.
 - IV. Claims 19-22, 64 and 65, drawn to a method of making a dimeric bicyclic peptide, classified in Class 435, subclass 69.1.

Art Unit: 1644

- V. Claims 27-31, 36-38 and 41-45, drawn to a method of interfering with one biological activity induced by VEGF mediated angiogenesis using a specific monomeric monocyclic peptide, classified in Class 424, subclass 185.1.
- VI. Claims 27, 32-36, 39-40, 43 and 46-47, drawn to a method of interfering with one biological activity induced by VEGF mediated angiogenesis using a specific dimeric bicyclic peptide, classified in Class 424, subclass 185.1.
- VII. Claims 48, drawn to a method for imaging using a specific monomeric monocyclic peptide, classified in Class 435, subclass 7.23.
- VIII. Claims 48, drawn to a method for imaging using a specific dimeric bicyclic peptide, classified in Class 435, subclass 7.23.
- IX. Claims 66-69, drawn to a method of combination anti-tumor therapy using monomeric monocyclic peptides, classified in Class 424, subclass 185.1.
- X. Claims 66-69, drawn to a method of combination anti-tumor therapy using dimeric bicyclic peptides, classified in Class 424, subclass 185.1.
- XI. Claims 70-71, drawn to a method of treating chronic inflammation using monomeric monocyclic peptides, classified in Class 424, subclass 185.1.
- XII. Claims 70-71, drawn to a method of treating chronic inflammation using dimeric bicyclic peptides, classified in Class 424, subclass 185.1.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions of Groups (I-II) and Groups (III-XII) are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case, the products as claimed can be used in materially different process such as making antibody or screening assays. Therefore, they are patentably distinct.

Inventions of Groups I-II are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case, the products as claimed differ with respect to their structure and/or chemical properties.

Art Unit: 1644

Inventions of Groups III-XII are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case, the method of making the product versus the methods of interfering, imaging and treating using distinct products differ with respect to their process steps and endpoints. Therefore, they are patentably distinct.


5. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the searches are not co-extensive, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
6. Irrespective of whichever group the applicant may elect, the applicant is further required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect:
 - A) If Group I, III, V, VII, IX or XI is elected, the Applicant is required to elect a specific cyclic peptide with SEQ ID NO such as the ones recited in claim 49. These monocyclic peptides differ with respect to their structures and chemical properties. Therefore, they are patentably distinct.
 - B) If Group II, IV, VI, VIII, X or XII is elected, the Applicant is required to elect a specific combination of cyclic peptides with SEQ ID NOS such as the ones recited in claim 49. These dimeric bicyclic peptides differ with respect to their structures and chemical properties. Therefore, they are patentably distinct.
7. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1, 4, 19, 27, and 48 are generic.
8. Applicant is advised that a response to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.
9. Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of

Art Unit: 1644

an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 C.F.R. § 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. M.P.E.P. § 809.02(a).

10. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 103 of the other invention.
11. Due to the complexity of the claimed invention an oral restriction was not made.
12. Applicant is advised that the response to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed.
13. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Phuong Huynh "NEON" whose telephone number is (703) 308-4844. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Friday from 9:00 am to 5:30 p.m. A message may be left on the examiner's voice mail service. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christina Chan can be reached on (703) 308-3973. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Technology Center 1600 receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.
14. Papers related to this application may be submitted to Technology Center 1600 by facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed to Technology Center 1600 via the PTO Fax Center located in Crystal Mall 1. The faxing of such papers must conform to the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1989). The CM1 Fax Center telephone number is (703) 305-3014.

Phuong N. Huynh, Ph.D.
Patent Examiner
Technology Center 1600
March 19, 2002


CHRISTINA Y. CHAN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
GROUP 1800 7680